SUBCOMMITTEE No. 2

NATURAL RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, and ENERGY

Natural Resources	
Overview	2-1
Secretary for Resources	2-3
California Conservation Corps	2-4
Department of Conservation	2-6
Department of Forestry and Fire Protection	2-7
State Lands Commission	2-10
Department of Fish and Game	2-11
Wildlife Conservation Board	2-14
Department of Boating and Waterways	2-15
California Coastal Commission	2-17
Department of Parks and Recreation	2-18
Department of Water Resources	2-20
California Bay-Delta Authority	2-24
Environmental Protection	
Overview	
Secretary for Environmental Protection	
Air Resources Board	
Integrated Waste Management Board	2-35
Department of Pesticide Regulation	2-37
State Water Resources Control Board	2-38
Department of Toxic Substances Control	2-40
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	2-42
Energy	
Overview	2-44
Energy Commission, California	2-45
Department of Water Resources (California Energy Resources Scheduling Division)	2-46
Public Utilities Commission	2-47
Agriculture	
Department of Food and Agriculture	2-49

NATURAL RESOURCES

Overview

Background. The Resources Agency is responsible for the state's policies, programs, and activities relating to the conservation, management, and enhancement of California's natural and cultural resources. The Resources Agency is led by the Secretary for Resources and the agency oversees the following departments, commissions, conservancies, and other boards and authorities.

Departments:

- California Conservation Corps
- Department of Conservation
- Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
- Department of Fish and Game
- Department of Boating and Waterways
- Department of Parks and Recreation
- Department of Water Resources

Commissions:

- State Lands Commission
- California Coastal Commission
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
- Delta Protection Commission
- Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission
- Native American Heritage Commission

Conservancies:

- California Tahoe Conservancy
- State Coastal Conservancy
- Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy
- San Joaquin River Conservancy
- Coachella Valley Mountains Conservancy
- San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy
- Baldwin Hills Conservancy
- San Diego River Conservancy
- Sierra Nevada Conservancy

Other Boards and Authorities:

- Special Resources Programs (Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Yosemite Foundation Program, and Sea Grant Program)
- Colorado River Board
- Wildlife Conservation Board
- California Bay-Delta Authority

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$3.5 billion to support the Resources Agency in 2006-07. This is \$1.4 billion less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in resources bond funds available for appropriation. The General Fund support for

the Resources Agency is expected to increase by over \$140 million in the budget year due to increased funding for lining the All-American Canal, employee compensation costs associated with fire protection, and flood management activities.

Total State Fund Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
State Operations	\$3,247,922	\$3,099,064	-\$148,858	-4.6
Local Assistance	544,338	220,439	-323,899	-59.5
Capital Outlay	1,096,448	202,051	-894,397	-81.6
Total	\$4,888,708	\$3,521,554	-\$1,367,154	-28.0
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$1,388,103	\$1,529,782	\$141,679	10.2
Special Funds	1,770,438	1,596,263	-174,175	-9.8
Bond Funds	1,730,167	395,509	-1,334,658	-77.1
Total	\$4,888,708	\$3,521,554	-\$1,367,154	-28.0

Highlights

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor has proposed a Strategic Growth Plan for the state that includes \$223 billion in infrastructure investments over the next 10 years. Approximately \$68 billion of this proposal is to be funded by new general obligation bonds. The plan includes \$35 billion for flood control and water supply improvements, including \$9 billion in new general obligation bonds, \$5 billion from a new water fee, and \$21 billion in matching funds from the federal government and local governments. This proposal is included in the flood protection and water bond (SB 1166, Aanestad).

The Governor has also proposed a \$6.8 billion public safety bond that includes \$216 million for upgrades to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection fire facilities and air attack bases. This proposal is included in the public safety bond (AB 1833, Arambula). Furthermore, the Governor has also proposed a \$2.2 billion bond that contains funding for critical infrastructure needs in various departments across state government. This proposal includes \$215 million for maintenance upgrades at state park facilities. This proposal is contained in the critical infrastructure facilities bond (SB 1163, Ackerman).

The 2006-07 budget does not contain expenditures from these bond proposals.

Issues

Infrastructure Bond. The Legislature may wish to review how the infrastructure investments proposed in the Governor's Strategic Growth Plan will protect and restore the state's natural resources. Specifically, the Legislature may wish to review actual capital improvement needs versus those proposed for funding in the Governor's plan. The Legislature may also wish to

evaluate infrastructure investments that may be added to the bond to enhance protection of the state's natural resources, including investments in habitat conservation planning and conservation easements to protect public trust resources. The 2003 Five-Year Infrastructure Plan identified over \$7 billion in infrastructure needs across the entire Resources Agency. The Legislature may want to consider some of these needs in evaluating the Governor's plan.

Enforcement. The California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA) recently conducted an agencywide review of its enforcement programs. The review revealed inconsistencies and problems with how different departments approached enforcement. Cal-EPA is currently implementing 11 different strategies to improve its enforcement activities. The Legislature may wish to pursue a similar type effort at the Resources Agency. The Resources Agency has several departments that have vast enforcement responsibilities, including the Department of Fish and Game, Department of Water Resources, and the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. Effective enforcement of the state's environmental protection laws is critical to protecting the state's public trust resources.

0540 Secretary for Resources

Background. The Secretary for Resources heads the Resources Agency. The Secretary is responsible for overseeing and coordinating the activities of the departments, commissions, conservancies, and other boards and authorities that make up the Resources Agency.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$50 million to support the Secretary for Resources in 2006-07. This is nearly 40 percent less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in the resources bond funds available for appropriation and one-time money in the current year for ocean projects. The Secretary for Resources does not receive any General Fund support.

Summary of Expenditures		•0040	A 61	
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Administration	\$82,298	\$50,819	-\$31,479	-38.3
Total	\$82,298	\$50,819	-\$31,479	-38.3
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0
Special Funds	3,057	3,072	15	0.5
Bond Funds	78,536	46,983	-31,553	-40.2
Budget Act Total	81,593	50,055	-31,538	-38.7
Federal Trust Fund	184	236	52	28.3
Reimbursements	521	528	7	1.3
Total	\$82,298	\$50,819	-\$31,479	-38.3

Bond Funds for River Parkways Program. The Governor's Budget proposes to allocate \$30.9 million in the budget year from Propositions 40 and 50 resources bonds to fund the River Parkways Program.

Bond Funds for Sierra Nevada Cascade Program. The Governor proposes to allocate \$11.7 million in the budget year from Proposition 50 resources bonds to fund the Sierra Nevada Cascade Conservation Grant Program.

Issues

Funding Resource Management Activities. Over the past several years, five resources bonds approved by the voters have provided funding for land acquisitions. Despite the increase in habitat, parkland, and open space acquired, there have been significant reductions in the funding available to manage these properties. The lack of basic maintenance funding can result in threats to human health if contamination issues are not addressed on state properties. Furthermore, lack of routine maintenance can result in the deterioration of habitat due to the spread of invasive species and fuels that cause a catastrophic fire threat. In order to start thinking about solutions to this growing problem, the Legislature requested that the Secretary for Resources prepare an options report for funding resource management activities over the long term. Upon receiving this report, the Legislature may wish to review and evaluate the options presented to address this growing need.

Department of Fish and Game Report. The Legislature did an extensive review of the Department of Fish and Game's budget over the past year. This process culminated with the request of an extensive report on the department's activities, funding sources, and measured outcomes for each of its programs. The department was to prepare this report in conjunction with the Secretary for Resources. The Legislature may wish to review this report to determine the next steps for addressing the long-standing problems identified at the Department of Fish and Game.

3340 California Conservation Corps

Background. The California Conservation Corps (Corps) assists federal, state and local agencies and nonprofit entities in conserving and improving California's natural resources while providing employment, training, and educational opportunities for young men and women. The Corps provides on-the-job training and educational opportunities to California residents aged 18 through 23, with projects that conserve and enhance the state's natural resources and environment. In addition to activities traditionally associated with the Corps, like tree planting, stream clearance, and trail building, the Corps responds to emergencies caused by fires, floods, earthquakes, and other natural disasters. The Corps also develops and provides funding for 11 community conservation corps.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$59.8 million to support the California Conservation Corps in 2006-07. This is a 4 percent decline from estimated expenditure levels in the current year due to a reduction in bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the Corps is proposed to increase by about 40 percent in the budget year due to a

proposal to increase the department's General Fund support and reduce the department's reliance on reimbursements.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Training and Work Program	\$62,320	\$58,865	-\$3,455	-5.5
Capital Outlay	43,655	13,845	-29,810	-68.3
Administration	7,178	7,178	0	0.0
less distributed administration	-7,178	-7,178	0	0.0
Total	\$105,975	\$72,710	-\$33,265	-31.4
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$24,073	\$33,813	\$9,740	40.5
Collins-Dugan California				
Conservation Corps Reimbursement Account	31,662	23,462	-8,200	-25.9
Other Special Funds	597	621	24	4.0
Bond Funds	5,988	1,896	-4,092	-68.3
Budget Act Total	62,320	59,792	-2,528	-4.1
Public Buildings Construction				
Fund	43,655	12,918	-30,737	-70.4
Total	\$105,975	\$72,710	-\$33,265	-31.4

Highlights

Increased General Fund Support. The Governor's Budget proposes \$8.4 million General Fund to maintain the Corps operations. Over the past several years, the General Fund portion of their budget has been reduced resulting in a large reliance on reimbursements for work performed. The budget proposal indicates that this level of funding is needed to maintain current operations given the instability of reimbursements.

Issues

Fuel Reduction and Fire Training Reimbursement Project. The 2004-05 budget included \$1.5 million to the Corps to fund a fuel reduction and fire training program. This project would provide fire suppression training for about 75 corpsmembers. This program had not been implemented at the time of budget discussions in 2005. The Legislature may wish to follow up on the implementation of this project.

3480 Department of Conservation

Background. The Department of Conservation (DOC) is charged with the development and management of the state's land, energy, and mineral resources. The department manages programs in the areas of: geology, seismology, and mineral resources; oil, gas, and geothermal resources; agricultural and open-space land; and beverage container recycling.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$874 million to support DOC in the budget year. This is the same level of funding as is estimated for expenditure in the current year. General Fund support for the department is proposed to be 16 percent less in the budget year due to a one-time transfer from the General Fund to the department's Oil, Gas and Geothermal Administrative Fund due to a recent statute change.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
-				
Geologic Hazards and Mineral Resources Conservation	\$27,474	\$22,695	-\$4,779	-17.4
Oil, Gas, and Geothermal	\$27,474	\$22,093	-\$4,779	-1/.4
Resources	16,951	16,984	33	0.2
Land Resource Protection	44,819	12,839	-31,980	-71.4
Beverage Container Recycling and	,	,	,	
Litter Reduction	797,670	827,302	29,632	3.7
Office of Mine Reclamation	, -	5,363	, _	_
Administration	11,301	11,438	137	1.2
less distributed administration	-11,301	-11,438	-137	0.0
Total	\$886,914	\$885,183	-\$1,731	-0.2
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$4,938	\$4,165	-\$773	-15.7
Special Funds	828,050	859,477	31,427	3.8
Bond Funds	42,545	9,964	-32,581	-76.6
Budget Act Total	875,533	873,606	-1,927	-0.2
Federal Trust Fund	1,745	1,779	34	1.9
Bosco-Keene Renewable	,	•		
Resources Investment Fund	872	901	29	3.3
Reimbursements	8,765	8,897	132	1.5
Total	\$886,915	\$885,183	-\$1,732	-0.2

Williamson Act Enforcement. The Governor's Budget proposes \$463,000 to fund five two-year limited-term positions to ensure accurate and timely payment of Williamson Act contract cancellation fees and ensure that state subventions to local governments are based on qualifying contracted lands. The department will reassess its enforcement efforts after the two-year period to determine whether the increased enforcement efforts are justified. The Legislature provided \$350,000 for this purpose in the 2005 budget, but these funds were vetoed by the Governor.

California Farmland Conservancy Program Grants. The Governor's Budget proposes \$8.9 million from Proposition 40 for grants to conserve agricultural lands. These funds are available for the planning and voluntary acquisition of agricultural conservation easements.

Fraud Prevention in the Beverage Container Recycling Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$904,000 to fund eight two-year limited-term positions to combat fraud in the Beverage Container Recycling Program. Recent audits by the department found that as many as 90 percent of all claims were fraudulent from some recycling centers and that an estimated \$11 million in fraudulent payments are made annually.

3540 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

Background. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF), under the policy direction of the Board of Forestry, provides fire protection services directly or through contracts for timberlands, rangelands, and brushlands owned privately or by state or local agencies. In addition, CDF (1) regulates timber harvesting on forestland owned privately or by the state and (2) provides a variety of resource management services for owners of forestlands, rangelands, and brushlands.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget provides \$610 million to support CDF in 2006-07. This is approximately 7 percent more than the level of expenditures estimated for the current year. The increase is due to employee compensation costs increases associated with fire protection. General Fund support for the department is also proposed to increase by about 7 percent for the same reason.

G 07 11:				
Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Trung of Francis diagrams				
Type of Expenditure				
Office of the State Fire Marshal	\$14,984	\$14,725	-\$259	-1.7
Fire Protection	745,697	792,864	47,167	6.3
Resource Management	50,001	46,401	-3,600	-7.2
Capital Outlay	85,298	206,577	121,279	142.2
Administration	56,945	57,793	848	1.5
less distributed administration	-56,515	-57,363	-848	0.0
Total	\$896,410	\$1,060,997	\$164,587	18.4
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$550,036	\$591,257	\$41,221	7.5
Special Funds	8,674	9,196	522	6.0
Bond Funds	9,771	9,498	-273	-2.8
Budget Act Total	568,481	609,951	41,470	7.3
Federal Trust Fund	31,302	29,230	-2,072	-6.6
Forest Resources Improvement Fund	3,619	4,718	1,099	30.4
Bosco-Keene Renewable				
Resources Investment Fund	1,000	-	_	-
Timber Tax Fund	30	31	1	3.3
Public Building Construction Fund	67,205	188,185	120,980	180.0
Reimbursements	224,773	228,882	4,109	1.8
Total	\$896,410	\$1,060,997	\$164,587	18.4

Employee Compensation. The Governor's Budget includes \$37 million General Fund to fund increased employee compensation costs associated with funding year-round fire protection statewide.

Forest Resources Improvement Fund Assumes Revenues from State Forests. The Governor's Budget estimates that \$15 million in revenue will be generated from the sale of forest products harvested on state forest land in the current and budget years combined. The budget proposes to expend \$4.7 million of these revenues to support forestry programs in 2006-07. The state has received only a minimal amount of revenues from timber harvesting on state forests over the past several years because of the continued lawsuit restricting timber harvesting in

Jackson State Forest. This proposal assumes some resolution of the current moratorium on harvesting in this forest.

Capital Outlay Upgrades. The Governor's Budget includes \$138 million for capital outlay projects to upgrade the state's fire protection infrastructure, including \$18.4 million from the General Fund and \$119 million from lease revenue bonds.

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor's Strategic Growth Plan includes \$216 million from new general obligation bonds for upgrades to state fire protection facilities and air attack bases. These funds are included in the Governor's bond on public safety-related infrastructure (AB 1833, Arambula). The budget does not assume expenditure of these bond funds in 2006-07.

Issues

Local Versus State Fire Protection Activities. The state is responsible for fire protection of approximately one-third (31 million acres) of state lands, which are areas deemed state responsibility areas (SRAs). The SRA the department protects is mainly privately owned forestlands, watersheds, and rangelands. The state does not have primary responsibility for fire protection of structures or general emergency response, as this responsibility belongs to local fire protection and emergency response entities. However, during fire events and other emergency response events, the state typically works collectively with the locals to defend life and property and respond to emergencies. Defending life and property and responding to general emergencies increases state fire protection costs significantly. In some cases, the state does have contracts with local government to provide general emergency response functions. However, CDF's relationship and the allocation of responsibilities with locals has been further blurred now that CDF is providing year-round staffing of fire engines statewide. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the relationship between state fire protection and local services and determine an appropriate funding structure for the evolving services provided by CDF.

Jackson State Forest Issues Still Unresolved. The Governor vetoed legislation (SB 902, Chesbro) in 2004 that would have provided temporary relief to the moratorium on timber harvesting at Jackson State Forest. However, since the Governor vetoed this legislation, timber cannot be harvested on Jackson State Forest until the department provides an EIR that satisfies the Court. The department has released a draft EIR, but it is not certain whether the revised plan will satisfy the court or the plaintiff. This results in uncertainty regarding the ability of the department to harvest at Jackson State Forest and collect sufficient revenues to support important state forestry programs. Revenues generated from timber harvesting on state forests is the primary funding source for the department's forestry programs. The Legislature may wish to consider diversifying the funding sources for the state's forestry programs.

Fuels Management and Fire Prevention Activities. The 2005-06 budget included \$9 million in additional funding to augment off-season fire protection staffing in Southern California. The department indicated that the increased level of staffing during the off-season would be used to increase fire prevention work. As part of the 2005-06 budget, the Legislature requested a report that detailed the department's plans for increasing fire prevention work, including establishing metrics for measuring performance. The Legislature may wish to review this report and evaluate the extent that fire prevention activities have increased.

Disabled Veteran Business Contracts. As part of the 2005-06 budget, the Legislature requested a report from the department on its efforts to contract with certified disabled veteran businesses, as directed by current law. Inconsistent policies were identified, at the department, related to contracting with veteran-owned businesses. The Legislature may wish to review this report and evaluate the department's process for contracting with veteran-owned businesses.

Equipment Purchases. The 2005-06 budget included \$10.8 million for ongoing fire-equipment replacement. This was a 150 percent increase to the department's equipment budget. As part of the 2005-06 budget, the Legislature requested a report on the department's actual equipment expenditures. The Legislature may wish to review this report and determine whether the increase in the department's equipment budget is appropriate.

Infrastructure Bond. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the actual infrastructure needs identified by the department in the updated Five Year Infrastructure Plan that is forthcoming from the administration. The 2003 Infrastructure Plan identified over \$1 billion in infrastructure needs for the department through 2007-08.

3560 State Lands Commission

Background. The State Lands Commission (SLC) is responsible for the management of lands that the state has received from the federal government. These lands total more than four million acres and include tide and submerged lands, swamp and overflow lands, the beds of navigable waterways, and vacant state school lands.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$21 million in special funds for SLC. This is an increase of \$1.5 million over the estimated expenditures in the current year. This increase is due to a one-time expenditure, in the budget year, to fund remediation of a toxic site owned by the state. General Fund support for the department is also proposed to increase due to this budget proposal.

Highlights

Liquefied Natural Gas Staffing. The Governor's Budget proposes \$414,000 in special funds to augment resources to conduct environmental reviews of LNG applications and develop engineering and maintenance standards for liquefied natural gas (LNG) marine terminals. The commission indicates that LNG engineering and maintenance standards comparable to those that exist for ordinary petroleum marine oil terminals do not exist.

Remediation of Toxic State Lands at Selby. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.3 million General Fund to fund the state's portion of remediation work at state-owned land in Selby. These funds will be used to fund shoreline stabilization and water quality monitoring, which are remedies that have been proposed by the Department of Toxic Substances Control.

Marine Invasive Species Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$151,000 to support two new positions to address workload associated with the Marine Invasive Species Program. This program was put in place to regulate ballast water discharges in state waters. The department has received more reporting forms than previously anticipated and the backlog in processing these forms has reduced the department's ability to identify potential violations in a timely manner.

Issues

Tidelands Oil Revenues. Current law allocates a portion of the state's tidelands oil revenues to various resources programs. These allocations have been suspended by the administration for the past several years and revenues have been transferred directly to the General Fund. There is a long standing disagreement about whether these revenues, which are received from resource extraction activities, should be dedicated to resources-related programs. Current law that allocates tidelands oil revenues to various resource programs is set to sunset in the upcoming budget year. Therefore, the Legislature may wish to evaluate the use of tidelands oil revenues in the future.

City of Long Beach. Legislation (Chapters 81 and 521, Statutes of 2005) was enacted as part of the 2005-06 budget that created a new Oil Trust Fund to fund environmental cleanup of the tidelands oil fields in the City of Long Beach. The legislation transferred tidelands oil funds held by the city to the new fund and provides that \$2 million from monthly tidelands oil revenues also be deposited in the fund. The Legislature may wish to review the time frame for abandonment of the oil fields and the actual amount of money needed by the city for cleanup.

3600 Department of Fish and Game

Background. The Department of Fish and Game (DFG) administers programs and enforces laws pertaining to the fish, wildlife, and natural resources of the state. The Fish and Game Commission sets policies to guide the department in its activities and regulates fishing and hunting. The DFG currently manages about 850,000 acres including ecological reserves, wildlife management areas, hatcheries, and public access areas throughout the state.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$200 million to support DFG in the budget year. This is about 25 percent less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the department is proposed to increase by 26 percent to mitigate the impacts of recent legislation that requires funding to be diverted for the hatchery program.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Biodiversity Conservation Program	\$206,640	\$128,837	-\$77,803	-37.7
Hunting, Fishing, and Public Use Management of Lands and	44,013	46,375	2,362	5.4
Facilities	43,047	44,876	1,829	4.2
Conservation Education and				
Enforcement	49,059	58,515	9,456	19.3
Spill Prevention and Response	30,600	31,544	944	3.1
Capital Outlay	2,250	1,299	-951	-42.3
Administration	33,756	35,236	1,480	4.4
less distributed administration	-33,756	-35,236	-1,480	0.0
Total	\$375,609	\$311,446	-\$64,163	-17.1
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$42,499	\$53,560	\$11,061	26.0
Special Funds	144,442	140,504	-3,938	-2.7
Bond Funds	78,906	6,140	-72,766	-92.2
Budget Act Total	265,847	200,204	-65,643	-24.7
Federal Trust Fund	68,442	68,343	-99	-0.1
Reimbursements	38,928	39,671	743	1.9
Salton Sea Restoration Fund	2,387	2,615	228	9.6
Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fo	und 5	5	0	0.0
Special Deposit Fund	-	608	-	-
Total	\$375,609	\$311,446	-\$64,163	-17.1

Balances Fish and Game Preservation Fund. The Governor's Budget proposes \$10 million General Fund to balance the Fish and Game Preservation Fund. The Fish and Game Preservation had an existing structural deficit and recent legislation (AB 7, Cogdill) worsened the deficit by requiring one-third of all sport-fishing license fees to be dedicated to achieving fish hatchery production goals and supporting trout restoration programs. The Governor's Budget proposal for balancing the Fish and Game Preservation Fund requires modifications to AB 7.

Increases in Fish Hatchery Program. The Governor's Budget proposes expansions to the department's fish hatchery program resulting from the implementation of AB 7 (Cogdill). AB 7

results in approximately \$6 million in additional funding for trout production at fish hatcheries. The budget also includes \$681,000 in additional federal funds and reimbursements for expansions to mitigation hatcheries in the Central Valley to enhance salmon and steelhead hatchery programs.

Funding for Fisheries Restoration Grant Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$4 million General Fund for the Fisheries Restoration Grant program to restore habitat for coho salmon and steelhead trout. These funds will be used to leverage federal funding.

San Joaquin River Restoration. The Governor's Budget proposes \$750,000 in special funds to support the first year in a three-year program to support development of a plan to restore anadromous fish on the San Joaquin River below Friant Dam. This program will be coordinated with the Department of Water Resources.

Enhancing Land Management. The Governor's Budget provides \$886,000 in Proposition 12 bond funds for various projects to manage and enhance lands owned by the department, including maintaining California Waterfowl Program contracts and controlling noxious weeds in San Diego County. The budget also provides \$1.3 million in special funds for various capital outlay improvements on state lands.

Enhancement of Bay-Delta Sport Fishing. The Governor's Budget provides \$1.5 million from special funds to support a program for the long-term sustainable benefit of sport fishing in the San Francisco Bay-Delta. This program is supported by the Bay-Delta Sport Fishing Enhancement Stamp and an advisory committee has recommended several projects to be pursued with this funding, including habitat restoration and public outreach.

Improving Department Operations. The Governor's Budget provides \$637,000 to support positions to ensure federal guidelines are followed in expending federal grant funds and to develop and implement a data management system to replace the current paper based licensing system.

Issues

Improving Information Management. Historically, DFG has had a difficult time providing sufficiently detailed information to the Legislature on what activities are being supported by its dozens of funding sources. The 2005-06 budget provided DFG with \$200,000 to hire a contractor to assist in re-engineering the department's accounting system and preparing a detailed report to the Legislature on the department's activities, funding sources, and outcomes to determine the level in which DFG is fulfilling its statutory mandates. The Legislature may wish to review the findings of the contractor hired by DFG to determine if improvements can be made in the way the department manages information.

Fish and Game Preservation Fund. The department's primary funding source is the Fish and Game Preservation Fund. This fund has dozens of subaccounts, many of which are dedicated to specific purposes. The LAO found that many of these subaccounts were not balanced and funds from dedicated subaccounts were being used to fund other activities at the department. The Legislature requested, as part of the 2005-06 budget, a report on a plan to balance the subaccounts within the Fish and Game Preservation Fund. The Legislature may wish to review this report to determine if the administration has taken sufficient action to balance each subaccount within the Fish and Game Preservation Fund.

Comprehensive Review of DFG's Activities. Significant evidence has been gathered over the past 10 years regarding the lack of adequate funding for many of DFG's key programs that protect public trust resources. The department recently released a report entitled *California Wildlife: Conservation Challenges* that highlights the insufficiency of the department's resource assessment and habitat planning resources. In order to get a better handle on the department's current activities, the Legislature requested, as part of the 2005-06 budget, a comprehensive review of the department's activities, funding sources, and measured outcomes for each of its programs. The Legislature may wish to review this report to evaluate the funding needed by the department to meet statutory mandates.

Marine Life Protection Act Implementation. The administration has formed a Blue Ribbon Task Force to prepare statewide guidelines for developing a marine protected area master plan; create a pilot project along the Central Coast; develop a funding strategy for long-term implementation of the MLPA; and make recommendations for improving the coordination of marine protected areas. A draft report on the options for funding the MLPA initiative was recently released. The Legislature may wish to follow-up on the options presented in this plan, and on the department's implementation of the MLPA, including a specific timeline for completing the plan.

3640 Wildlife Conservation Board

Background. The Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB) acquires property in order to protect and preserve wildlife and provide fishing, hunting, and recreational access facilities. The WCB is an independent board in the Department of Fish and Game and is composed of the Director of the Department of Fish and Game, the Director of the Department of Finance, and the Chairman of the Fish and Game Commission. In addition, three members of the Senate and three members of the Assembly serve in an advisory capacity to the board.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$40 million to support the WCB in the budget year. This is over a 90 percent reduction from estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in the resources bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the board remains unchanged in the budget year.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
State Operations	\$6,102	\$3,655	-\$2,447	-40.1
Capital Outlay	543,804	36,423	-507,381	-93.3
Total	\$549,906	\$40,078	-\$509,828	-92.7
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$195	\$195	\$0	0.0
Special Funds	20,814	5,122	-15,692	-75.4
Bond Funds	512,342	34,761	-477,581	-93.2
Budget Act Total	533,351	40,078	-493,273	-92.5
Reimbursements	11,555	_	-	-
Oak Woodlands Conservation Fund	5,000	-	-	-
Total	\$549,906	\$40,078	-\$509,828	-92.7

Habitat Conservation Fund Acquisitions. The Governor proposes \$21 million for acquisition, restoration, and enhancement of habitat from the Habitat Conservation Fund. This funding is required by Proposition 117, the California Wildlife Protection Act of 1990 that, among other things, requires an annual General Fund transfer to the Habitat Conservation Fund unless other funding sources are available. The administration proposes to use a combination of Proposition 50 bond funds (\$17.7 million) and Unallocated Cigarette and Tobacco Product Surtax (\$3.3 million) to satisfy this obligation in the budget year.

3680 Department of Boating and Waterways

Background. The Department of Boating and Waterways (DBW) is responsible for planning and developing boating facilities on waterways throughout California. It is also responsible for protecting the public's right to safe boating by providing subventions to local law enforcement agencies. The department is also responsible for boating safety and education, licensing yachts, aquatic weed control in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, and beach erosion control along California's coast.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.3 million to support DBW, which is approximately the same level of funding estimated for expenditure in the current year. (The

majority of DBW's budget is not subject to appropriation in the budget act.) The department is not supported by the General Fund.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Boating Facilities	\$51,160	\$51,970	\$810	1.6
Boating Operations	16,047	18,806	2,759	17.2
Beach Erosion Control	1,423	1,625	202	14.2
Capital Outlay			0	0.0
Administration	2,350	2,350	0	0.0
less distributed administration	-2,350	-2,350	0	0.0
Total	\$68,630	\$72,401	\$3,771	5.5
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0
Special Funds	500	1,250	750	150.0
Budget Act Total	500	1,250	750	150.0
Federal Trust Fund	8,111	8,111	0	0.0
Reimbursements	1,015	8,111	0	0.0
Harbors & Watercraft Revolving Fund	59,004	62,025	3,021	5.1
Total	\$68,630	\$72,401	\$3,771	5.5

Highlights

Funding for Public Small Craft Harbor Loans. The Governor proposes \$21 million in special funds for loans to develop new marinas and expand and rehabilitate existing marinas.

Funding for Launching Facility Grants. The Governor proposes \$9.6 million in special funds for grants for the construction of launching ramps and other facilities used when launching boats.

Funding for Private Recreational Marina Loans. The Governor proposes \$3.5 million in special funds for loans to fund private recreational marinas.

Funding for Beach Erosion Studies. The Governor proposes \$1.4 million in special funds for statewide beach erosion studies and funding for beach erosion control projects.

Funding for Marine Law Enforcement Grants. The Governor proposes \$2.5 million in special funds for grants to local law enforcement for enhanced marine law enforcement activities.

3720 California Coastal Commission

Background. The California Coastal Commission, following its initial creation in 1972 by a voter initiative, was permanently established by the State Coastal Act of 1976. In general, the act seeks to protect the state's natural and scenic resources along California's coast. It also delineates a "coastal zone" running the length of California's coast, extending seaward to the state's territorial limit of three miles, and extending inland a varying width from 1,000 yards to several miles. The commission's primary responsibility is to implement the act's provisions. It is also the state's planning and management agency for the coastal zone. The commission's jurisdiction does not include the San Francisco Bay Area, where development is regulated by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$11.2 million for support of the Coastal Commission in 2006-07. This is approximately the same as estimated expenditures in the current year. General Fund support for the department is also proposed to stay at the same level.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Coastal Management Program	\$14,795	\$14,687	-\$108	-0.7
Coastal Energy Program	716	719	3	0.4
Administration	1,613	1,624	11	0.7
less distributed administration	-1,532	-1,543	-11	0.0
Total	\$15,592	\$15,487	-\$105	-0.7
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$9,935	\$9,845	-\$90	-0.9
Special Funds	1,358	1,314	-44	-3.2
Budget Act Total	11,293	11,159	-134	-1.2
Federal Trust Fund	3,021	3,040	19	0.6
Reimbursements	1,279	1,288	9	0.7
Total	\$15,593	\$15,487	-\$106	-0.7

Issues

Improving Coastal Access and Development Mitigation. The LAO has found the commission's tracking and reporting of "offers to dedicate" (OTD) could be improved. The Legislature provided additional funding to track and accept these offers in the 2005-06 budget, but these funds were vetoed by the Governor. Nevertheless, the Legislature requested that the commission prepare a report on the universe of OTDs that have not yet been accepted by a third

party. An OTD is typically available to develop for a period of 21 years and many OTDs are set to expire in the next few years. The Legislature may wish to review this report and determine the level of staffing needed to ensure OTDs do not expire.

Coastal Energy-Related Activities. The commission's workload related to energy-related projects has increased significantly over the past few years. The commission is responsible for reviewing the dozens of marine oil terminal leases and several proposals to site a new liquefied natural gas facility off the coast of southern California. However, the commission's resources have not been augmented to address this increased workload. The Legislature provided additional funding to address this need in the 2005-06 budget, but it was vetoed by the Governor. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the commission's workload and determine whether additional funding is warranted.

3790 Department of Parks and Recreation

Background. The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) acquires, develops, and manages the natural, cultural, and recreational resources in the state park system and the off-highway vehicle trail system. In addition, the department administers state and federal grants to local entities that help provide parks and open-space areas throughout the state.

The state park system consists of 277 units, including 31 units administered by local and regional agencies. The system contains approximately 1.4 million acres, which includes 3,800 miles of trails, 300 miles of coastline, 800 miles of lake and river frontage, and about 14,800 campsites. Over 80 million visitors travel to state parks each year.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$344 million to support DPR in 2006-07. This is about a 50 percent reduction from estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in the bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the department is proposed to increase by about 12 percent reflecting a budget proposal to fund remediation activities at the Empire Mine State Historic Park.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Support of the Department of				
Parks and Recreation	\$338,672	\$336,203	-\$2,469	-0.7
Local Assistance Grants	223,719	45,369	-178,350	-79.7
Capital Outlay	274,412	29,405	-245,007	-89.3
Total	\$836,803	\$410,977	-\$425,826	-50.9
Funding Course				
Funding Source General Fund	¢101 140	¢112 704	¢11 644	11.5
	\$101,140	\$112,784	\$11,644	11.5
Special Funds	270,610	200,625	-69,985	-25.9
Bond Funds	327,494	30,864	-296,630	-90.6
Budget Act Total	699,244	344,273	-354,971	-50.8
Federal Trust Fund	79,666	31,672	-47,994	-60.2
Reimbursements	56,944	34,060	-22,884	-40.2
Harbors and Watercraft Revolving				
Fund	689	712	23	3.3
California Missions Foundation				
Fund	260	260	0	0.0
Total	\$836,803	\$410,977	-\$425,826	-50.9

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor's Strategic Growth Plan includes \$215 million from new general obligation bonds for health and safety infrastructure upgrades to existing park facilities. These funds are included in the Governor's critical infrastructure facilities bond (SB 1163, Ackerman). The budget does not assume expenditure of these bond funds in 2006-07.

American's with Disabilities Act Implementation. The Governor's Budget proposes \$11.8 million (\$11.2 million General Fund) to fund the multi-year effort to make existing state park facilities compliant with the American's with Disabilities Act (ADA). This is year five of the multi-year effort to modify park properties to comply with ADA.

Remediation Measures at Empire Mine. The Governor's Budget proposes \$5 million General Fund for contamination remediation measures at the Empire Mine State Historic Park.

Funding for Critical Water Infrastructure Upgrades. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.4 million General Fund to augment the department's ongoing efforts to ensure safe drinking water

and wastewater systems at park facilities. These upgrades are required to comply with state water quality and drinking water requirements.

Various Park Grants. The Governor's Budget proposes \$45 million in local park grants. The funding is proposed for allocation to the following activities:

- \$2.7 million for recreational grants from the Habitat Conservation Fund.
- \$1.5 million for the Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District from the Habitat Conservation Fund.
- \$18 million for Off-Highway Vehicle grants from the Off-Highway Vehicle Trust Fund.
- \$1.7 million for Off-Highway Vehicle grants from the Recreational Trails Fund.
- \$7 million for recreational grants from the Recreational Trails Fund.
- \$13 million for recreational grants from federal funds.
- \$1.2 million for historic preservation grants from federal funds.
- \$260,000 for historic preservation grants from the California Missions Foundation Fund.

Issues

Infrastructure Bond. The department estimates that its backlog of deferred maintenance for the state park system is around \$900 million. Furthermore, the acquisition of new park properties in the past few years has resulted in additional resource needs, to open and develop facilities for the public to enjoy. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the Governor's bond proposal to determine whether additional funding beyond the \$215 million proposed is needed to address state infrastructure needs in the park system.

3860 Department of Water Resources

Background. The Department of Water Resources (DWR) protects and manages California's water resources. In this capacity, the department maintains the State Water Resources Development System, including the State Water Project. The department also maintains public safety and prevents damage through flood control operations, supervision of dams, and water projects. The department is also a major implementing agency for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, which is putting in place a long-term solution to water supply reliability, water quality, flood control, and fish and wildlife problems in the San Francisco Bay Delta.

Additionally, the department's California Energy Resources Scheduling (CERS) division manages billions of dollars of long-term electricity contracts. The CERS division was created in 2001 during the state's energy crisis to procure electricity on behalf of the state's three largest investor owned utilities (IOUs). The CERS division continues to be financially responsible for the long-term contracts entered into by the department. (Funding for the contracts comes from ratepayer-supported bonds.) However, the IOUs manage receipt and delivery of the energy

procured by the contracts. (More on the CERS division of DWR is included in the Energy and Utilities section of this report.)

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$445 million to support DWR in the budget year. This is 14 percent less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in the amount of resources bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the department is proposed to increase by \$17 million to fund increases to the department's flood management activities and the lining of the All-American and Coachella canals.

2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change

			-11.2
808,972	800,060	-8,912	-1.1
*	*	· ·	-29.6
7,301	8,729	1,428	19.6
5,275,449	5,036,366	-239,083	-4.5
205,508	207,995	2,487	1.2
63,700	63,700	0	0.0
-63,700	-63,700	0	0.0
-4,013	-4,013	0	0.0
\$6,793,076	\$6,453,060	-\$340,016	-5.0
\$230 233	\$247 252	\$17.019	7.4
	*	· ·	17.0
*	*	· ·	-32.5
515,356	444,848	-70,508	-13.7
12.042	10 715	20.4	2.2
*	*		-2.3
*	*	· ·	-2.7
5,275,449	5,036,366	-239,083	-4.5
	-	-	-
40,795	36,145	-4,650	-11.4
\$6,793,076	\$6,453,060	-\$340,016	-5.0
	205,508 63,700 -63,700 -4,013 \$6,793,076 \$230,233 10,313 274,810 515,356 12,842 948,614 5,275,449 20 40,795	\$283,401 \$251,575 808,972 800,060 216,458 152,348 7,301 8,729 5,275,449 5,036,366 205,508 207,995 63,700 63,700 -63,700 -63,700 -4,013 -4,013 \$6,793,076 \$6,453,060 \$230,233 \$247,252 10,313 12,068 274,810 185,528 515,356 444,848 12,842 12,546 948,614 923,155 5,275,449 5,036,366 20 - 40,795 36,145	\$283,401 \$251,575 -\$31,826 808,972 800,060 -8,912 216,458 152,348 -64,110 7,301 8,729 1,428 5,275,449 5,036,366 -239,083 205,508 207,995 2,487 63,700 63,700 0 -63,700 -63,700 0 -4,013 -4,013 0 \$6,793,076 \$6,453,060 -\$340,016 \$230,233 \$247,252 \$17,019 10,313 12,068 1,755 274,810 185,528 -89,282 515,356 444,848 -70,508 12,842 12,546 -296 948,614 923,155 -25,459 5,275,449 5,036,366 -239,083 20 - 40,795 36,145 -4,650

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor's Strategic Growth Plan includes \$35 billion for flood control and water supply investments. The bond funds are allocated as follows:

- \$6 billion is proposed for flood control, including \$2.5 billion from new general obligation bonds.
- \$29 billion is proposed for integrated regional water management projects, including \$6.5 billion from new general obligation bonds and \$5 billion from a new monthly fee on retail water agencies.

These bonds are included in the Governor's flood protection and water bond (SB 1166, Aanestad). The Governor's infrastructure bond proposal also includes a proposal for a new Water Resources Investment Fund supported by a monthly fee on retail water agencies. This fee is based on the number of household water connections in each retail water agency and is estimated to raise \$5 billion over the next 10 years. The fee would be used to fund water infrastructure improvements similar to those being proposed for funding from bond funds. The budget does not assume expenditure of bond funds or fee revenues from the Water Resources Investment Fund in 2006-07.

Expands Flood Management Activities. The Governor's Budget proposes \$35 million General Fund to implement the second year of a three-year plan to prevent flooding in the Central Valley. This funding is for maintenance, evaluation, and rehabilitation of the Central Valley levee system. Funds are also provided for improved emergency response and updating floodplain maps.

Of the total provided for flood management, \$15 million is for CALFED-related levee upgrades funded through the Delta Levee Subvention program and to fund a one-time Delta Risk Management Study.

Funding for Central Valley Flood Projects. The Governor's Budget proposes \$41 million (\$31.4 million General Fund) for various capital outlay flood control projects in the Central Valley. Funding is allocated for the following projects:

- Folsom Dam Modifications (\$19.5 million).
- American River Common Features Project (\$9.2 million).
- Folsom Dam Bridge Element (\$6.8 million).
- Sacramento River Bank Protection Project (\$4.9 million).
- American River Natomas Features Project (\$496,000).
- Upper Sacramento River Levee Restoration Project (\$484,000).

All-American Canal Lining. The Governor's Budget proposes \$84 million General Fund for the lining of the All-American and Coachella Canals. This funding is consistent with the Quantification Settlement Agreement to reduce California's use of Colorado River water.

CALFED Water Use Efficiency Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$32 million in Proposition 50 bond funds to provide grants and technical assistance to local water districts for water use efficiency projects.

CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$15.7 million in Proposition 13 bond funds to implement various ecosystem restoration program projects, including the San Joaquin River deep water ship channel demonstration aeration project.

CALFED Surface Storage Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$8.4 million in various bond funds to fund the CALFED Surface Storage Program. Funding is allocated to the following projects:

- Common Assumptions (\$1.3 million).
- North of Delta Storage Sites Reservoir (\$3.1 million).
- Los Vaqueros Reservoir Enlargement (\$1 million).
- Upper San Joaquin River Storage (\$1 million).
- San Luis Low Point Bypass Feasibility Study (\$2 million).

CALFED Water Quality Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$6.5 million in various bond funds to support development of the Franks Tract Pilot Project.

CALFED Conveyance Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$5.5 million in Proposition 13 bond funds to continue evaluation and implementation of fish facility improvements at State Water Project and Central Valley Project fish collection facilities located in the South Delta. Funding will also be used to study the need for a Through-Delta facility on the Sacramento River to improve water quality in the Delta at the export facilities.

CALFED Watershed Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$667,000 in Proposition 50 bond funds to provide technical assistance to local watershed stewardship efforts.

Agricultural Water Conservation Loan Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$15 million in Proposition 13 bond funds to continue the Agricultural Water Conservation Loan Program.

Fully Funded Watermaster Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$1.4 million in reimbursement authority to support 7.5 positions to fully fund the department's watermaster service program from fees paid by water right holders. This program regulates streams and groundwater basins that have court adjudications or agreements.

San Joaquin River Restoration. The Governor's Budget includes \$1 million in Proposition 13 bond funds to conduct a state lead program to investigate non-flow related restoration actions for the San Joaquin River, including developing water supply alternatives, water quality, hydrology, water temperature, and fish habitat restoration. The amount of water required to restore the San Joaquin River is currently the subject of litigation involving the Bureau of Reclamation, the Friant Water User Authority, and the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Issues

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor's Strategic Growth Plan for water contains a significant amount of new policy regarding funding flood management and water supply projects. The Legislature may wish to review these policies and determine whether they are consistent with the Legislature's policy goals. Specifically, the Legislature may wish to evaluate specific matching rates proposed in the bond to cost share projects and the incentives that result from the proposed funding structures. The Legislature may also wish to review the benefits of the projects proposed for funding, including potential benefits to the environment and water supply. This review will help to determine what activities and projects are most appropriate for state general obligation bond funding versus other funding sources. Furthermore, the Legislature may wish to evaluate the role it will play in determining what projects are ultimately built by the bond. In the water bond proposal, the Department of Water Resources would have the ultimate authority to determine what projects get funded with large amounts of the bonding authority.

Funding Flood Management Activities. In 2005, the administration put forward several recommendations for improving the state's ability to fund flood control in the Central Valley, including legislation to create a new assessment district and require mandatory notification for those households living behind levees. These recommendations and others are not proposed for implementation in the budget year. Adequate funding for flood control upgrades and maintenance in the Central Valley is important not only to protect life and property, but also to protect the state from the costs associated with a major levee failure. *Paterno* v. *State of California* has made the state potentially liable for damages resulting from any levee failure within the Sacramento River flood control area. This is a huge financial liability and the state has limited control over some factors that are driving the size of the liability. For example, the state has limited authority over land use behind levees. Many of the levees in the Central Valley were originally built to protect agricultural land and are not adequate to protect population centers. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the best mix of statutory changes and funding augmentations needed to protect the public and limit the state's financial liability.

3870 California Bay-Delta Authority

Background. Pursuant to a federal-state accord signed in 1994, CALFED was administratively created as a consortium of state and federal agencies that have regulatory authority over water and resource management responsibilities in the Bay-Delta region. The CALFED program now encompasses 12 state and 13 federal agencies. The objectives of the program are to: (1) provide good water quality for all uses; (2) improve fish and wildlife habitat; (3) reduce the gap between water supplies and projected demand; and (4) reduce the risks from deteriorating levees.

After five years of planning, CALFED began to implement programs and construct projects in 2000. The program's implementation—which is anticipated to last 30 years—is guided by the "Record of Decision" (ROD). The ROD represents the approval of the lead CALFED agencies of the final environmental review documents for the CALFED "plan." Among other things, the ROD lays out the roles and responsibilities of each participating agency, sets goals for the program and types of projects to be pursued, and includes an estimate of the program's costs for its first seven years. In the ROD, these costs are projected to total \$8.5 billion for the program's

first seven years (2000-01 through 2006-07). This amount has recently been revised upward to \$9.2 billion.

The California Bay-Delta Authority (BDA) oversees the CALFED program. Among the duties of BDA are the annual review and approval of long-term expenditure plans of the implementing agencies and the preparation of a comprehensive program budget proposal.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$14 million to support BDA in 2006-07, which is \$112 million less than estimated expenditure levels in the current year. This decrease is primarily due to the reduction in bond funds available for appropriation and the transfer of the Ecosystem Restoration Program to the Department of Fish and Game.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
CALFED Program	\$143,372	\$31,232	-\$112,140	-78.2
Total	\$143,372	\$31,232	-\$112,140	-78.2
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$8,518	\$8,517	-\$1	0.0
Bond Funds	117,969	5,830	-112,139	-95.1
Budget Act Total	126,487	14,347	-112,140	-88.7
Federal Trust Fund				
Reimbursements	16 005	16 005	0	0.0
Kennoursements	16,885	16,885	0	0.0
Total	\$143,372	\$31,232	-\$112,140	-78.2

Highlights

CALFED Bay-Delta Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$250 million (\$26 million General Fund) for the state share of the CALFED Program. This is about \$75 million less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in resources bond funds available for appropriation. General Fund support for the program is estimated to increase by nearly \$15 million in the budget year due to increases in funding for delta levees.

A new 10-year Action Plan Framework for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program was released in December 2005. The proposed plan recommends changes in the following categories:

• Governance. The Governor proposes to eliminate the Bay-Delta Authority and reestablish a policy group chaired by the Secretary for Resources and the federal lead appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. The plan also calls for establishing independent oversight of the CALFED program and a state/federal advisory committee to inform the new policy group. Bay-Delta Authority staff are proposed to be transferred to the Resources Agency.

- **Program and Fiscal Management**. The Governor proposes to reorganize the Bay-Delta Authority staff to focus on strategic planning and implement new performance-based program management of the CALFED program. The plan also calls for standardizing fiscal management and tracking systems, developing a communications plan, and establishing science based performance measures to allow for adaptive management of the program.
- Refocused Program Priorities. The Governor proposes to organize the activities under the CALFED program into two categories: (1) CALFED-Delta actions and (2) Integrated Regional Water Management actions. CALFED-Delta actions generally have a direct effect on the Delta, while the other actions have a broader statewide focus. The plan calls for greater focus on CALFED-Delta actions.
- **Updated Implementation Schedules**. The Governor proposes an updated implementation schedule for major actions called for in the 2000 Record of Decision that are remaining through 2010.
- Create 100-Year Delta Vision. The Governor proposes to convene a panel of scientists to evaluate the latest information relative to the Delta. This information will be used to inform a larger public process to determine the 100-year vision for the Delta, including land use and transportation.
- **Develop New Conservation Plans**. The Governor proposes to develop Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Communities Conservation Plans to address endangered species issues in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and San Francisco Bay Delta.
- **Develop Near-Term Funding Plan**. The Governor proposes to develop a funding plan for the next three years of the CALFED program. The administration indicates that of the \$1 billion required over the next three years, roughly 75 percent of the funding is in place. Actions will need to be taken to obtain the remaining 25 percent, including additional water user contributions.

Details on how the proposed changes will be implemented have not been submitted to the Legislature. Some of the actions will require legislation. The table below provides a summary of the entire CALFED program, including funding by program element, state department, and fund source.

Expenditures by Program Elements Expenditures by Program Elements Stage of Stage	Summary of Expenditures				
Elements Ecosystem Restoration \$162,155 \$32,349 -\$129,806 -80.1 Environmental Water Account 9,052 8,970 -82 -0.9 Water Use Efficiency 28,567 62,115 33,548 117.4 Water Use Efficiency 28,567 62,115 33,548 117.4 Water Shed 11,791 8,658 -3,133 -26.6 Water Quality 1,043 19,387 18,344 1758.8 Levees 19,164 18,513 -651 -3.4 Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -88.7 State Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258<	(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Ecosystem Restoration \$162,155 \$32,349 -\$129,806 -80.1	• •				
Environmental Water Account 9,052 8,970 -82 -0.9 Water Use Efficiency 28,567 62,115 33,548 117.4 Water Transfers - - - - Watershed 11,791 8,658 -3,133 -26.6 Water Quality 1,043 19,387 18,344 175.8 Levees 19,164 18,513 -651 -3.4 Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 164 19,189 17,555 1074.4					
Water Use Efficiency 28,567 62,115 33,548 117.4 Water Transfers - - - - Watershed 11,791 8,658 -3,133 -26.6 Water Quality 1,043 19,387 18,344 1758.8 Levees 19,164 18,513 -651 -3.4 Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9	-				
Water Transfers -	Environmental Water Account	9,052	8,970	-82	-0.9
Watershed 11,791 8,658 -3,133 -26.6 Water Quality 1,043 19,387 18,344 1758.8 Levees 19,164 18,513 -651 -3.4 Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority \$126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 1634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of For	Water Use Efficiency	28,567	62,115	33,548	117.4
Water Quality 1,043 19,387 18,344 1758.8 Levees 19,164 18,513 -651 -3.4 Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department 1 1,634 19,189 17,555 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 8 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Health Services	Water Transfers	-	-	-	-
Levees	Watershed	11,791	8,658	-3,133	-26.6
Storage 8,778 8,612 -166 -1.9 Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 8 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 <t< td=""><td>Water Quality</td><td>1,043</td><td>19,387</td><td>18,344</td><td>1758.8</td></t<>	Water Quality	1,043	19,387	18,344	1758.8
Conveyance 34,398 66,629 32,231 93.7 Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102	Levees	19,164	18,513	-651	-3.4
Science 34,724 10,524 -24,200 -69.7 Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 </td <td>Storage</td> <td>8,778</td> <td>8,612</td> <td>-166</td> <td>-1.9</td>	Storage	8,778	8,612	-166	-1.9
Water Supply Reliability 6,868 6,806 -62 -0.9 Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation 8 8 0 0.0 Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Expenditures by Fund Source 6 6 6,2449 \$14,972 <t< td=""><td>Conveyance</td><td>34,398</td><td>66,629</td><td>32,231</td><td>93.7</td></t<>	Conveyance	34,398	66,629	32,231	93.7
Oversight and Coordination 7,499 7,375 -124 -1.7 Total \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 13 18,921 <td>Science</td> <td>34,724</td> <td>10,524</td> <td>-24,200</td> <td>-69.7</td>	Science	34,724	10,524	-24,200	-69.7
Expenditures by Department \$324,039 \$249,938 -\$74,101 -22.9 Expenditures by Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 <td< td=""><td>Water Supply Reliability</td><td>6,868</td><td>6,806</td><td>-62</td><td>-0.9</td></td<>	Water Supply Reliability	6,868	6,806	-62	-0.9
Expenditures by Department Separtment of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,8	Oversight and Coordination	7,499	7,375	-124	-1.7
Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,84	Total	\$324,039	\$249,938	-\$74,101	-22.9
Department of Water Resources \$125,000 \$210,258 \$85,258 68.2 California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,84					
California Bay-Delta Authority 126,487 14,347 -112,140 -88.7 State Water Resources Control Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3	Expenditures by Department				
State Water Resources Control 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Department of Water Resources	\$125,000	\$210,258	\$85,258	68.2
Board 1,634 19,189 17,555 1074.4 Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	California Bay-Delta Authority	126,487	14,347	-112,140	-88.7
Department of Fish and Game 67,222 5,448 -61,774 -91.9 Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	State Water Resources Control				
Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Board	1,634	19,189	17,555	1074.4
Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation 88 88 0 0.0 Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Department of Fish and Game	67,222	5,448	-61,774	-91.9
Protection 154 159 5 3.2 Department of Conservation 3,330 324 -3,006 -90.3 Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation 88 88 0 0.0 Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Department of Forestry and Fire				
Department of Health Services 125 125 0 0.0 San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source Seneral Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	•	154	159	5	3.2
San Francisco Bay Conservation 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source S11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Department of Conservation	3,330	324	-3,006	-90.3
Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source S11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Department of Health Services	125	125	0	0.0
Development Commission 88 88 0 0.0 Total \$324,040 \$249,938 -\$74,102 -22.9 Expenditures by Fund Source S11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	San Francisco Bay Conservation				
Expenditures by Fund Source General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	•	88	88	0	0.0
General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Total	\$324,040	\$249,938	-\$74,102	-22.9
General Fund \$11,477 \$26,449 \$14,972 130.5 Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1					
Proposition 204 29,025 1,575 -27,450 -94.6 Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Expenditures by Fund Source				
Proposition 13 18,921 73,782 54,861 289.9 Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	General Fund	\$11,477	\$26,449	\$14,972	130.5
Proposition 50 232,689 105,847 -126,842 -54.5 State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Proposition 204	29,025	1,575	-27,450	-94.6
State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Proposition 13	18,921	73,782	54,861	289.9
State Water Project 29,705 39,015 9,310 31.3 Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	Proposition 50	232,689	105,847	-126,842	-54.5
Other State Funds 2,223 3,270 1,047 47.1	State Water Project	29,705		9,310	31.3
		2,223	3,270	1,047	47.1
$\psi \cup 2 + \psi \cup 1 $	Total	\$324,040	\$249,938	-\$74,102	-22.9

Issues

Financing the CALFED Program. Concerns remain regarding how the CALFED program will be financed over the long-term. The CALFED 10-year action plan proposes funding the program primarily from existing resources bond funds over the next several years. Proceeds from the proposed infrastructure bond proposal would play a role in financing the CALFED program, if approved, as would the proceeds from a new Water Resource Investment Fund fee. However, the administration's proposal is not specific about how these funding sources would be used and whether they will be sufficient for funding a balanced CALFED program. The Legislature may wish to continue its review and evaluation of a sustainable funding structure for the CALFED Program, including the application of the beneficiary-pays principle included in the CALFED Record of Decision.

Regional Conservancies

Background. In order to promote the conservation of its land resources, the state has created eight regional conservancies that acquire and protect undeveloped lands in specific regions of the state. The conservancies are departments, located within the Resources Agency, which are charged with, among other things, acquiring land in specified geographical areas in order to advance specified goals. While the particular statutory goals of each conservancy differ, in general, the conservancies were created to protect certain vital land resources that were endangered by development or other threats.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$76 million for the state's nine regional conservancies. This is nearly 75 percent less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to a reduction in the amount of resources bond funds available for appropriation.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
3125 - California Tahoe				
Conservancy	\$47,128	\$25,271	-\$21,857	-46.4
3760 - State Coastal Conservancy	184,887	33,599	-151,288	-81.8
3810 - Santa Monica Mountains				
Conservancy	22,613	9,241	-13,372	-59.1
3825 - San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy	10,831	3,339	-7,492	-69.2
3830 - San Joaquin River				
Conservancy	372	434	62	16.7
3835 - Baldwin Hills Conservancy	23,213	415	-22,798	-98.2
3845 - San Diego River				
Conservancy	272	292	20	7.4
3850 - Coachella Valley				
Mountains Conservancy	5,163	272	-4,891	-94.7
3855 - Sierra Nevada Conservancy	3,381	3,462	81	2.4
Total	\$297,860	\$76,325	-\$221,535	-74.4

Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program Implementation. The Governor's Budget provides \$21 million to the California Tahoe Conservancy to implement the Environmental Improvement Program (EIP) for the Tahoe Basin in the budget year. This is the same level of funding as was provided in the current year. This level of funding satisfies the state's commitment to this program.

Issues

Sierra Nevada Conservancy Start Up. The 2005-06 budget year was the first full year of funding for the new Sierra Nevada Conservancy. The Legislature requested, as part of the 2005-06 budget, that the Sierra Nevada Conservancy prepare a report on the total funding needed to support its programs after one-time costs associated with the start up of the conservancy were completed. The Legislature may wish to review this report to determine whether adjustments should be made to the conservancy's budget for 2006-07.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Overview

Background. The California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA) is charged with implementing federal and state environmental quality standards. This is done through regulatory programs and incentive programs that seek to improve the quality of the environment for all Californians. The Cal-EPA is led by the Secretary for Environmental Protection and the agency oversees the following boards, departments, and office.

Boards:

- Air Resources Board
- Integrated Waste Management Board
- State Water Resources Control Board (including the nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards)

Departments:

- Department of Pesticide Regulation
- Department of Toxic Substances Control

Office:

 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.1 billion to support Cal-EPA in 2006-07. This is 28 percent less than the level of expenditures estimated in the current year primarily due to a reduction in resources bond funds available for appropriation. The General Fund support for the agency has been reduced by \$6.7 million primarily due to one-time costs in the current year associated with the state's takeover of a toxic landfill in Southern California.

Total State Fund Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
State Operations	\$1,020,908	\$1,008,055	-\$12,853	-1.3
Local Assistance	530,982	112,006	-418,976	-78.9
Capital Outlay	4,066	1,120	-2,946	-72.5
Total	\$1,555,956	\$1,121,181	-\$434,775	-27.9
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$78,100	\$71,424	-\$6,676	-8.5
Special Funds	993,495	980,557	-12,938	-1.3
Bond Funds	484,361	69,200	-415,161	-85.7
Total	\$1,555,956	\$1,121,181	-\$434,775	-27.9

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor has proposed a Strategic Growth Plan for the state that includes \$223 billion in infrastructure investments over the next 10 years. Approximately \$68 billion of this proposal is funded by new general obligation bonds. The plan includes \$2 billion for air quality improvements for the ports, including \$1 billion in new general obligation bonds and \$1 billion in matching funds from the private sector. This proposal is included in the transportation bond (SB 1165, Dutton). The 2006-07 budget does not contain expenditures from this bond.

Environmental Enforcement. The Governor proposes \$6.1 million in special funds to strengthen environmental enforcement efforts throughout the Cal-EPA Agency.

Climate Change Initiative. In June 2005, the Governor signed Executive Order S-3-05 which sets greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for California, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 2000 levels by 2010. The Governor directed the Secretary of Cal-EPA to coordinate oversight of the efforts to meet these targets and requires a report to the Governor and the Legislature on the progress in meeting these targets starting in January 2006. The Governor's Budget includes \$7.2 million (\$135,000 General Fund) to implement this initiative. The majority of the funding is for the Air Resources Board and Secretary for Environmental Protection, but activities are also funded at the California Energy Commission, California Public Utilities Commission, and the Integrated Waste Management Board.

Issues

Infrastructure Bond. The Legislature may wish to review how the infrastructure investments proposed in the Governor's Strategic Growth Plan will protect and restore the state's environment. Specifically, the Legislature may wish to review the adequacy of the \$1 billion proposed for air quality related to the state's goods movement corridors. The Legislature may also wish to evaluate infrastructure investments that may be added to the bond to protect the State's environment, including funding for improved land use planning and the clean up of contaminated brownfield properties.

Oversight of Enforcement. During 2005 the Office of the Secretary for Environmental Protection prepared a review of environmental enforcement across Cal-EPA boards and departments. This study highlighted areas for improvement in existing enforcement programs and indicated that 11 separate enforcement related projects were being implemented with existing staff resources. As part of the 2005-06 budget process, the Legislature requested a report on the status of implementing the administration's enforcement initiative, including how the 2006-07 budget facilitates implementation of the initiative and what metrics are being used to track improvement in the performance of enforcement programs agency wide.

Climate Change Initiative. The Governor has identified several actions in the budget to help in meeting the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets set in the June 2005 Executive Order. However, it is not clear that these actions are sufficient to meet these targets. The Legislature will need to review the Climate Action Team Report released by Cal-EPA and determine whether the recommended budget actions are sufficient in making progress to reduce greenhouse gas emissions according to the schedule set by the Governor.

0555 Secretary for Environmental Protection

Background. The Secretary for Environmental Protection heads the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA). The Secretary is responsible for overseeing and coordinating the activities of the boards, departments, and office under the jurisdiction of Cal-EPA.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$9.2 million to support the Secretary for Environmental Protection. This is a 60 percent increase over estimated expenditures in the current year due to budget proposals that transfer resources from boards and departments within Cal-EPA to the Secretary's office. General Fund support for the Secretary is proposed to increase by about \$650,000 due to these budget proposals.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Administration	\$10,196	\$13,363	\$3,167	31.1
Total	\$10,196	\$13,363	\$3,167	31.1
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$1,321	\$1,968	\$647	49.0
Special Funds	4,467	7,202	2,735	61.2
Budget Act Total	5,788	9,170	3,382	58.4
Reimbursements	2,017	1,805	-212	-10.5
State Water Quality Control Fund	117	167	50	42.7
Environmental Enforcement and				
Training Account	2,124	2,066	-58	-2.7
Environmental Education Account	150	155	5	3.3
Total	\$10,196	\$13,363	\$3,167	31.1

Highlights

Consolidation of Administrative Functions. The Governor's Budget includes the redirection of 22 positions and \$2.1 million from Cal-EPA boards, departments, and office to the Secretary to centralize administrative functions such as mail and facilities management. This proposal implements legislation that was enacted as part of the budget process in 2004-05.

Coordination of California-Mexico Border Environmental Efforts. The Governor's Budget includes the redirection of 5 positions and \$619,000 from Cal-EPA boards to the Secretary to support agency-wide policy and program coordination of California-Mexico border environmental efforts.

Climate Change Initiative. The Governor's Budget includes \$900,000 (\$135,000 General Fund) to lead a coordinated statewide effort to meet the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets set by the Governor, including economic analysis and inventory improvements.

Issues

Funding Environmental Education. The Education and Environment Initiative is an initiative implemented by the Integrated Waste Management Board that requires school boards to include environmental principles in their instructional materials. In the current year, this program is being funded entirely from tipping fees on the disposal of waste at landfills. This funding source does not reflect the broad scope of the initiative. The Legislature requested that the Secretary prepare a report on a balanced funding mix for implementing this initiative. The Legislature may want to review this report and consider adopting a more balanced funding mix for the program.

3900 Air Resources Board

Background. The Air Resources Board (ARB), along with 35 local air pollution control and air quality management districts, protects the state's air quality. The local air districts regulate *stationary sources* of pollution and prepare local implementation plans to achieve compliance with federal and state standards. The ARB is responsible primarily for the regulation of *mobile sources* of pollution and for the review of local district programs and plans. The ARB also establishes air quality standards for certain pollutants, administers air pollution research studies, and identifies and controls toxic air pollutants.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$248 million to support the ARB in 2006-07. This is a 2 percent reduction from estimated expenditures in the current year due to one-time expenditures in the 2005-06 budget to retrofit old school buses and purchase air pollution control and monitoring equipment. General Fund support for ARB remains relatively unchanged in the budget year.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Mobile Source	\$216,948	\$210,045	-\$6,903	-3.2
Stationary Source	43,382	43,985	603	1.4
Subvention	10,111	10,111	0	0.0
Capital Outlay	103	1,120	1,017	987.4
Administration	11,619	11,074	-545	-4.7
less distributed administration	-11,619	-11,074	545	0.0
Total	\$270,544	\$265,261	-\$5,283	-2.0
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$2,211	\$2,280	\$69	3.1
Special Funds	251,363	245,399	-5,964	-2.4
Budget Act Total	253,574	247,679	-5,895	-2.3
Federal Trust Fund	12,389	12,892	503	4.1
Reimbursements	4,581	4,690	109	2.4
Total	\$270,544	\$265,261	-\$5,283	-2.0

Infrastructure Bond. The Governor's Strategic Growth Plan includes \$2 billion for air quality improvements for the ports, including \$1 billion in new general obligation bonds that is projected to leverage \$1 billion in matching funds from the private sector. These bonds are included in the Governor's transportation-related bond (SB 1165, Dutton) and do not have an impact on the 2006-07 budget.

Implements Hydrogen Highway Blueprint. The Governor's Budget includes \$6.5 million in special funds for the second year of implementation of the Governor's Hydrogen Highway Blueprint. This funding will leverage private matching funds to construct three publicly accessible hydrogen fueling stations and will enable the state to leverage federal matching funds for five fuel cell buses to be used in public transit fleets.

Climate Change Initiative. The Governor's Budget includes \$5.2 million in special funds to fund new greenhouse gas emission reduction strategies identified by the Climate Action Team. The funding will support 15.5 new positions (\$1.9 million) and \$3.3 million in one-time contracts to develop new strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. These strategies include: increasing the use of bio-diesel fuel; electrification of port facilities; reducing hydrofluorocarbon emissions from refrigerators and air conditioning units; and various other strategies.

Environmental Enforcement. The Governor's Budget includes \$4 million in special funds to increase the ARB's enforcement presence and improve the effectiveness of the board's existing enforcement programs. The funding will support 20 new positions (\$2.8 million) and \$1.3 million for one-time equipment purchases to meet field enforcement and laboratory needs.

Development of Mitigation for Goods Movement Activities. The Governor's Budget includes \$1.7 million in special funds to demonstrate new technologies and develop mitigation measures to address the pollution created by goods movement activities. The funding will support eight new positions (\$1 million) and \$700,000 in contracts to evaluate, develop, and implement both regulatory and non-regulatory strategies to reduce air pollution from ports, rail yards, and intermodal facilities.

Expand Innovative Clean Air Technologies Grant Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$2 million in special funds for a one-time expansion of the Innovative Clean Air Technology Grant Program. The expansion would be used to accelerate the commercialization of new and innovative technologies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Equipment for Heavy-Duty Emission Testing. The Governor's Budget includes \$1 million in one-time special funds for contracts and to purchase additional equipment to accurately measure particulate matter emissions from heavy-duty diesel vehicles.

Issues

Hydrogen Highway Implementation. The administration is currently implementing the first year of the Hydrogen Highway Blueprint. Legislation was adopted as part of the 2005-06 budget process to guide this implementation of the Blueprint. The legislation required the administration to utilize at least 33 percent of new or renewable energy resources in the production of the hydrogen and to evaluate the impacts of the Blueprint on low-income communities. The Legislature may wish to review the administration's implementation of the

Hydrogen Highway Blueprint to determine whether its activities are consistent with this legislation.

NAFTA-Related Air Emissions. In June of 2004, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that a full environmental assessment was not needed to implement the transportation provisions of NAFTA. Consequently, free commercial travel has been expanded and an additional 35,000 heavy-duty commercial vehicles are expected to cross into the U.S. from Mexico daily. The additional air pollution created by Mexico's truck fleet was not accounted for when the state developed its State Implementation Plan for meeting federal clean air requirements. The Legislature has requested a report from ARB on the increases in emissions related to free commercial travel between the U.S. and Mexico. The Legislature may wish to review this report and determine whether the administration has adequately addressed NAFTA-related air emissions.

3910 Integrated Waste Management Board

Background. The California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB), in conjunction with local agencies, is responsible for promoting waste management practices aimed at reducing the amount of waste that is disposed in landfills. The CIWMB administers various programs that promote waste reduction and recycling, with particular programs for waste tire and used oil recycling. The board also regulates landfills through a permitting, inspection, and enforcement program that is mainly carried out by local enforcement agencies that are certified by the board. In addition, CIWMB oversees the cleanup of abandoned solid waste sites.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$190 million to support CIWMB in the budget year. This is approximately 5 percent less than in the current year due to revised expenditure projects for the Electronic Waste and Used Oil Recycling programs. The board does not receive any General Fund support.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				_
Waste Reduction and Management	\$201,679	\$191,906	-\$9,773	-4.8
Administration	8,874	8,874	0	0.0
less distributed administration	-8,874	-8,874	0	0.0
less loan repayments	-1,363	-1,694	-331	0.0
Total	\$200,316	\$190,212	-\$10,104	-5.0
Funding Source				
Special Funds	\$199,532	\$189,422	-\$10,110	-5.1
Bond Funds	141	141	0	0.0
Budget Act Total	199,673	189,563	-10,110	-5.1
Federal Trust Fund	91	91	0	0.0
Special Deposits Fund	345	351	6	1.7
Reimbursements	207	207	0	0.0
Total	\$200,316	\$190,212	-\$10,104	-5.0

Augments Tire Recycling Programs. The Governor's Budget includes \$5.2 million in special funds to expand enforcement efforts and increase the board's tire recycling efforts. The funds will support three new positions (\$230,000) to enhance enforcement and \$5 million in additional Rubberized Asphalt Concrete grants.

Augments Electronic Waste Recycling Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$1 million in special funds to fund 12 new positions to handle the workload associated with the Electronic Waste Recycling Program. A higher volume of claims are being received by the board than can be handled under current staffing levels.

Environmental Enforcement. The Governor's Budget includes \$223,000 in special funds to fund three new positions to investigate fraud in the Electronic Waste Recycling Program.

Climate Change Initiative. The Governor's Budget includes \$466,000 in special funds to fund three new positions to expand existing efforts to capture methane from landfills and enhance recycling efforts to meet the Governor's greenhouse gas emission reduction targets.

Issues

Financial Assurances for Landfills. The state recently took over operations of the BKK Class I landfill in West Covina, California, after the BKK Corporation informed the state that it was on the verge of bankruptcy and would no longer be able to fund post closure operations. Taking over management of the BKK landfill has cost the state well over \$15 million General Fund and has also raised concerns about the financial assurances in place to maintain landfills after they close. Currently, the state requires landfill operators to maintain landfills 30 years after they are closed. However, many landfills do not have adequate financial assurances for the 30 years and virtually no landfills have plans for maintenance past 30 years. This is a growing problem in California since half of the state's landfills will be closed by 2009. The Legislature may wish to evaluate alternatives to addressing this forthcoming problem.

Reforming the Waste Board. The Waste Board has been recommended for elimination many times over the past several years. Most recently, the LAO and the Governor's California Performance Review recommended that the board be eliminated. Furthermore, the board currently has an even number of members, which has made it difficult to resolve split decisions. The Legislature may wish to consider reforming the board's structure to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the state's recycling programs and waste regulation.

Consolidating State Recycling Programs. The LAO has recently recommended consolidating the state's recycling programs under a new Department of Recycling and Waste Prevention at Cal-EPA. Currently, recycling programs are divided between the Department of Conservation and the Waste Board. The LAO finds that the current structure misses opportunities to develop more comprehensive strategies for reducing waste from multiple sources. The Legislature may wish to consider reorganizing the state's recycling programs to consolidate and improve the effectiveness of these programs.

3930 Department of Pesticide Regulation

Background. The Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) administers programs to protect the public health and the environment from unsafe exposures to pesticides. The department (1) evaluates the public health and environmental impact of pesticides use; (2) regulates, monitors, and controls the sale and use of pesticides in the state; and (3) develops and promotes the use of reduced-risk practices for pest management. The department is funded primarily by an assessment on the sale of pesticides in the state.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$59 million to support DPR in 2006-07, which is approximately the same level of expenditures as in the current year. The department does not receive any General Fund support.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Registration and Health				
Evaluation	\$18,446	\$-	\$-	-
Pest Management and				
Environmental Activities	42,262	-	-	-
Pesticide Programs	-	62,115	-	-
Administration	8,822	8,941	119	1.3
less distributed administration	-8,822	-8,941	-119	0.0
Total	\$60,708	\$62,115	\$1,407	2.3
Funding Source				
Special Funds	\$58,035	\$59,434	\$1,399	2.4
Budget Act Total	58,035	59,434	1,399	2.4
Federal Trust Fund	2,194	2,202	8	0.4
Reimbursements	479	479	0	0.0
Total	\$60,708	\$62,115	\$1,407	2.3

Highlights

Environmental Enforcement. The Governor's Budget includes \$425,000 in special funds to support four new positions to ensure that all sellers of pesticides are in compliance with licensing and pesticide product registration requirements.

Department Reorganization. The Governor's Budget proposes to reorganize the department to consolidate the Registration and Health Evaluation branch with the Pest Management, Environmental Monitoring, Enforcement, and Licensing branch.

Issues

Mill Assessment Collections. An audit by the department of Long's Drug Stores found that nonpayment of the mill assessment on pesticide products legally registered in California was common. In addition, illegal sales of nonregistered pesticide products was also common statewide. In response to this finding, the department sponsored legislation (AB 1011, Matthews) that was signed into law to require the universe of retail pesticide handlers and sellers to acquire a broker's license to sell pesticides. The department asserts that this will enable the collection of mill assessment on all pesticide products sold in California. The Legislature may wish to follow up on the implementation of this law, including estimates for increased collections.

Department Reorganization. The Governor's Budget proposes to consolidate the department's two branches into one single branch. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the impact of this proposed reorganization on program delivery and program accountability. There have been ongoing concerns regarding the independence of the department's risk assessment activities from its risk management functions. The Legislature may also wish to evaluate the impact of the reorganization on the separation of these functions.

Risk Assessment Activities. There has been significant criticism of the length of time it takes the department to complete its human health risk assessments. There has also been concern about the department's process in determining final human health risk assessments especially when scientific findings are disputed. The Legislature has requested a report from the department on the number of risk assessments the department has completed and a documentation of the process the department uses to make its final human health risk assessments. The Legislature may wish to review this report to determine if changes are needed to the current system.

3940 State Water Resources Control Board

Background. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), in conjunction with nine semi-autonomous regional boards, regulates water quality in the state. The regional boards—which are funded by the state board and are under the state board's oversight—implement water quality programs in accordance with policies, plans, and standards developed by the state board.

The board carries out its water quality responsibilities by (1) establishing wastewater discharge policies and standards; (2) implementing programs to ensure that the waters of the state are not contaminated by underground or aboveground tanks; and (3) administering state and federal loans and grants to local governments for the construction of wastewater treatment, water reclamation, and storm drainage facilities. Waste discharge permits are issued and enforced mainly by the regional boards, although the state board issues some permits and initiates enforcement actions when deemed necessary.

The state board also administers water rights in the state. It does this by issuing and reviewing permits and licenses to applicants who wish to take water from the state's streams, rivers, and lakes.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$462 million to support SWRCB in the budget year. This proposal is approximately \$400 million less than current year expenditure levels, mainly due to a reduction in bond funding available for appropriation. The budget proposes a slight reduction in General Fund support for the board due to a redirection of positions to the Secretary for Environmental Protection to coordinate environmental issues related to the California/Mexico border region.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Water Quality	\$1,027,553	\$618,834	-\$408,719	-39.8
Water Rights	12,717	13,428	711	5.6
Administration	17,706	17,222	-484	-2.7
less distributed administration	-17,706	-17,222	484	0.0
Total	\$1,040,270	\$632,262	-\$408,008	-39.2
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$29,694	\$28,760	-\$934	-3.1
Special Funds	355,730	363,634	7,904	2.2
Bond Funds	484,220	69,059	-415,161	-85.7
Budget Act Total	869,644	461,453	-408,191	-46.9
Federal Trust Fund	128,835	128,898	63	0.0
Reimbursements	9,913	9,999	86	0.9
State Water Quality Control Fund	22,441	22,197	-244	-1.1
State Water Pollution Control	2.692	2.692	0	0.0
Revolving Fund	-2,682	-2,682	0	0.0
Petroleum Underground Storage	12 110	12 207	270	2.2
Tank Financing Account	12,118	12,397	279	2.3
Total	\$1,040,269	\$632,262	-\$408,007	-39.2

Highlights

Water Quality Monitoring. The Governor's Budget includes \$8.5 million in special and federal funds to improve the existing Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. About \$4 million of this increase is proposed as a one-time augmentation to expand water monitoring infrastructure. The board has broad deficiencies in its current ambient water monitoring program and lacks critical information needed to support management decisions. Specifically, the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) program, agriculture waiver program, and basin planning activities are in critical need of better water quality monitoring data. Funding this program will result in a \$4 million increase to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit fees.

Water Rights Program. The Governor's Budget includes \$3.6 million in special funds to improve the efficiency of the water rights program in processing water rights applications. The funds will support six new positions (\$669,000) to aid in reducing the current backlog of water right applications and change petitions. The remaining funding will be used to fund a one-time investment in information technology upgrades to the board's water rights database management and tracking system.

Basin Planning Updates. The Governor's Budget includes \$1 million in special funds to provide scientific data needed to update water quality standards contained in existing basin plans. Water quality standards are the basis for the board's entire regulatory program. However, due to a lack of basic monitoring data for updating these standards, the board's permitting programs have become more complex and less scientifically based. These additional contract funds will help to address this deficiency.

Water Quality Grant Funds. The Governor's Budget includes \$57 million in local assistance grant funding from Proposition 13 and 50 resources bonds. The bond funds are allocated to the following programs consistent with the bond acts.

- Integrated Regional Water Management Grants (\$20 million -- Prop 50).
- Water Recycling Grants (\$7 million Prop 13 and \$950,000 -- Prop 50).
- Groundwater Monitoring Program (\$10 million -- Prop 50).
- Drinking Water Quality Grants (\$3.4 million -- Prop 50).
- Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program Grants (\$4.8 million -- Prop 13).
- Coastal Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program Grants (\$1.5 million -- Prop 13).
- Watershed Protection Grants (\$3 million -- Prop 13 and \$6 million Prop -- 50).

Issues

Oceangoing Ship Discharges. Legislation has been enacted over the last several years to regulate the discharges from cruise ships. Legislation (SB 771, Simitian) to extend these regulations to other oceangoing ships was enacted last year. However, the administration indicated that it needed to receive authorization from the federal government before it could implement these regulations. The Legislature may wish to follow up on the status of the board's application to the federal government to initiate this new program.

3960 Department of Toxic Substances Control

Background. The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) regulates hazardous waste management, cleans up or oversees the cleanup of contaminated hazardous waste sites, and promotes the reduction of hazardous waste generation. The department is funded by fees paid by persons that generate, transport, store, treat, or dispose of hazardous wastes; environmental fees levied on most corporations; the General Fund; and federal funds.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$132 million to support DTSC in 2006-07. This is approximately \$13 million less than estimated expenditures in the current year. This

reduction is due to one-time expenditures in the current year related to the state's takeover of the BKK Class I landfill and reductions to the Toxic Substances Control Account to balance the fund. The department's General Fund expenditures are also proposed to decline in the budget year due to one-time expenditures related to the BKK Class I landfill in the current year.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				_
Site Mitigation and Brownfields				
Reuse	\$103,905	\$93,261	-\$10,644	-10.2
Hazardous Waste Management	65,478	64,181	-1,297	-2.0
Science, Pollution Prevention,				
and Technology	10,834	10,148	-686	-6.3
State as Certified Unified				
Program Agency	-	1,156	-	-
Capital Outlay	3,963	-	-	-
Administration	34,483	30,464	-4,019	-11.7
less distributed administration	-34,483	-30,464	4,019	0.0
Total	\$184,180	\$168,746	-\$15,434	-8.4
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$26,474	\$22,689	-\$3,785	-14.3
Special Funds	119,087	109,663	-9,424	-7.9
Budget Act Total	145,561	132,352	-13,209	-9.1
			0	0.0
Federal Funds	25,536	26,258	722	2.8
Reimbursements	13,083	10,136	-2,947	-22.5
Total	\$184,180	\$168,746	-\$15,434	-8.4

Highlights

Environmental Enforcement. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.5 million in special funds to enhance existing enforcement efforts related to the Electronic Waste Recycling program. These funds will support 14.5 additional positions to identify and regulate electronic hazardous waste and ensure that electronic waste recyclers and processors are complying with the law.

Balancing the Toxic Substances Control Account. The Governor proposes to address the \$12 million operating deficit in the Toxic Substances Control Account over a two-year period. This fund is the primary funding source for the department's Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse and Pollution Prevention programs. The budget proposal includes expanding the environmental fee to cover all businesses with 50 employees or more and reducing DTSC programs by \$5.5 million over a two-year period.

Restoring Closed Military Bases. The Governor's Budget includes \$209,000 in federal funds to support two positions to provide oversight for the investigation and clean up of McClellan Air Force Base and Fort Ord Army Base.

Regulating Chrome Plating Facilities. The Governor's Budget includes \$85,000 to fund one position to develop a program to assist in the upgrade or purchase of environmental control technologies for chrome plating facilities. This position will be used to develop a loan guarantee program and establish a model shop program.

3980 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Background. The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) identifies and quantifies the health risks of chemicals in the environment. It provides these assessments, along with its recommendations for pollutant standards and health and safety regulations, to the boards and departments in the California Environmental Protection Agency and to other state and local agencies. The OEHHA also provides scientific support to environmental regulatory agencies.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$14 million to support OEHHA in the budget year. This is a slight increase in funding from the estimated expenditures in the current year due to new budget proposals. General Fund support for OEHHA remains relatively unchanged in the budget year.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Health Risk Assessment	\$15,726	\$16,385	\$659	4.2
Administration	2,985	3,011	26	0.9
less distributed administration	-2,985	-3,011	-26	0.0
Total	\$15,726	\$16,385	\$659	4.2
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$8,303	\$8,377	\$74	0.9
Special Funds	5,281	5,803	522	9.9
Budget Act Total	13,584	14,180	596	4.4
Federal Trust Fund	500	500	0	0.0
Reimbursements	1,642	1,705	63	3.8
Total	\$15,726	\$16,385	\$659	4.2

Highlights

Additional Proposition 65 Funding. The Governor's Budget includes \$266,000 in special funds to augment the department's Proposition 65 program. These funds will be used to support

2 new positions to identify "safe" levels (levels that do not pose a significant health risk) for substances listed under Proposition 65 as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity.

Lead in Candy. The Governor's Budget includes \$125,000 in special funds to support one two-year limited-term position to implement recent legislation (AB 121, Vargas) that requires OEHHA to set a maximum lead standard for certain candies.

Risks of Alternative Dry Cleaning Methods. The Governor's Budget includes \$50,000 to support 0.5 positions to implement legislation enacted in 2003 (AB 998, Lowenthal) that requires OEHHA to evaluate health risks of alternative dry cleaning methods to perchloroethylene, which is a toxic air contaminant.

Issues

Adequacy of Funding. The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment has suffered significant General Fund reductions. However, efforts have been made to find other appropriate funding sources for the office over the past few years. Nevertheless, OEHHA has identified a \$6 million funding shortfall to meet its statutory mandates. The Legislature may wish to evaluate additional funding for OEHHA's activities.

ENERGY AND UTILITIES

Overview

Background. Several agencies play a role in developing, implementing, and managing the state's energy-related policies. These include:

- California Energy Commission. This is the state's primary policy and planning agency in the energy area.
- California Public Utilities Commission. The commission is involved with various energy-related regulatory activities.
- **Electricity Oversight Board.** Its responsibilities include monitoring the state's electricity market.
- California Power Authority. This entity was created during the state's 2001 electricity crisis to finance new electricity generation and assure an adequate electricity supply for the state. This agency is currently defunct.
- California Energy Resources Scheduling Division within the Department of Water Resources. This is the entity that currently purchases electricity for the state on behalf of the state's three largest investor owned utilities (IOUs).
- California Independent System Operator (ISO). The ISO is a not-for-profit corporation that was created by the state when it deregulated its wholesale electricity industry in 1996. The ISO is not considered a state agency, but was created by the Legislature and is governed by a board of gubernatorial appointees. However, its activities are regulated by the federal government. The ISO is charged with managing the majority of the state's electricity transmission system, in order to ensure competitive access to the grid by all electricity sellers. It also seeks to ensure that the power grid is safe and reliable.

Highlights

Department of Energy. The Governor is sponsoring legislation to reorganize the existing energy departments and create a new Department of Energy. This proposal is similar to the Governor's 2005 executive reorganization proposal. The new department will be responsible for the following activities:

- Transmission line siting.
- Representation before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- Development of public interest energy research on renewable energy technology.
- Petroleum fuels supply and demand analysis.
- Natural gas supply and electricity demand forecasting.
- Renewable energy portfolio standards program.
- Energy efficiency standards.

Issues

Department of Energy. The Governor is proposing legislation to reorganize the state's energy agencies. The Legislature may wish to evaluate this proposal to determine whether the proposed structure provides enhanced efficiencies in implementing state energy policies and enhanced protections for California energy consumers.

3360 California Energy Commission

Background. The Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (commonly referred to as the California Energy Commission, or CEC) is responsible for forecasting energy supply and demand, developing and implementing energy conservation measures, conducting energy-related research and development programs, and siting major power plants.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$310 million to support CEC in 2006-07. The proposed budget is approximately 26 percent less than estimated expenditures in the current year due to accumulated renewable energy funding expended in the current year to help implement the renewable portfolio standard. The department does not receive any General Fund support.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Regulatory and Planning	\$25,775	\$26,179	\$404	1.6
Energy Resources Conservation	26,504	22,880	-3,624	-13.7
Research and Development	383,203	279,758	-103,445	-27.0
Administration	11,551	13,082	1,531	13.3
less distributed administration	-11,551	-13,082	-1,531	0.0
less loan repayments	-1,883	-1,133	750	0.0
Total	\$433,599	\$327,684	-105,915	-24.4
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	0	0.0
Special Funds	417,635	309,961	-107,674	-25.8
Budget Act Total	417,635	309,961	-107,674	-25.8
Federal Funds	10,211	11,978	1,767	17.3
Reimbursements	5,753	5,745	-8	-0.1
Total	\$433,599	\$327,684	-105,915	-24.4

Alternative Fuels Development. The Governor's Budget proposes \$500,000 special funds for the CEC to implement recently enacted legislation (AB 1007, Pavley), which requires the development of recommendations to increase the use of alternative fuels in the transportation sector.

Climate Change Initiative. The Governor's Budget proposes \$612,000 to support four positions and \$200,000 in contracts to implement the Governor's greenhouse gas emission reduction targets. These funds will be used to establish an energy policy, develop a statewide greenhouse gas inventory, and implement a comprehensive climate change science program to support greenhouse gas emission reductions.

Public Purpose Natural Gas Research. The Governor's Budget proposes \$504,000 to support five new positions to identify, develop and manage energy-related research projects that benefit natural gas ratepayers. These funds will also be used to implement recent legislation (SB 76, Ch. 91/2005) to fund transportation-related research that provides a benefit to natural gas ratepayers.

Verification of Energy Efficiency Savings. The Governor's Budget proposes \$209,000 to fund two new positions to produce independent and verifiable estimates of the net energy and peak savings from investor-owned utility energy efficiency programs. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) recently issued a decision that directs the CPUC, in conjunction with the CEC, to assume responsibility for the evaluation, monitoring and verification of energy savings associated with over \$600 million in annual spending for energy efficiency programs.

Issues

Public Interest Energy Research (PIER) Funds for Transportation Research. Legislation (Ch. 91/2005) was enacted to allow Public Interest Energy Research funds to be expended for transportation-related research. This research is critical to developing new technologies that will reduce the air emissions from the transportation sector. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the steps CEC has taken to implement this new legislation.

Natural Gas PIER Program. SB 76 of 2005 requires that one-half of the new public interest natural gas research funds be expended pursuant to a research program jointly approved by the Air Resources Board and the Commission. Furthermore, the legislation allowed for up to one-third of the funds allocated annually to be expended on transportation-related research. The Legislature may wish to follow up on the implementation of this legislation.

Workload Plan for PIER Program. Legislation (SB 71, Ch. 81/2005) was enacted in 2005 to require that CEC develop a long-term staffing plan for the PIER program. The Legislature may wish to evaluate the plan when it is submitted to determine if staffing changes to the current PIER program are warranted.

3860 Department of Water Resources (California Energy Resources Scheduling Division)

Background. The Department of Water Resources' California Energy Resources Scheduling (CERS) division manages billions of dollars of long-term electricity contracts. The CERS

division was created in 2001 during the state's energy crisis to procure electricity on behalf of the state's three largest investor owned utilities (IOUs). The CERS division continues to be financially responsible for the long-term contracts entered into by the department. (Funding for the contracts comes from ratepayer-supported bonds.) However, the IOUs manage the receipt and delivery of the energy procured by the contracts.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$5 billion to fund the CERS division of the Department of Water Resources (DWR). This is \$239 million, or 4.5 percent, below estimated expenditures in the current year, which reflects a slight reduction in the amount of electricity purchased under contract for the budget year.

Summary of Expenditures		_		
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Energy Purchases	\$4,307,880	\$4,072,784	-\$235,096	-5.5
Interest Expense - Revenue Bonds	525,672	525,672	0	0.0
Payment of Principal - Revenue				
Bonds	409,395	409,990	595	0.1
Administration	32,502	28,202	-4,300	-13.2
Total	\$5,275,449	\$5,036,648	-238,801	-4.5

8660 Public Utilities Commission

Background. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is responsible for the regulation of privately owned "public utilities," such as gas, electric, telephone, and railroad corporations, as well as certain passenger and household goods carriers. The commission's primary objective is to ensure adequate facilities and services for the public at equitable and reasonable rates. The commission also promotes energy conservation through its various regulatory decisions.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.2 billion to support CPUC in the budget year. This is approximately the same level of funding as is estimated for expenditure in the current year. The commission does not receive any General Fund support.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Regulation of Utilities	\$350,685	\$364,956	\$14,271	4.1
Universal Service Telephone				
Programs	858,035	861,420	3,385	0.4
Regulation of Transportation	16,498	17,509	1,011	6.1
Administration	16,435	20,925	4,490	27.3
less distributed administration	-16,435	-20,925	-4,490	0.0
Total	\$1,225,218	\$1,243,885	18,667	1.5
10141	ψ1 ,223,2 10	ψ1, 2 13,000	10,007	1.0
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	0	0.0
Special Funds	1,211,407	1,229,960	18,553	1.5
Budget Act Total	1,211,407	1,229,960	18,553	1.5
Federal Funds	1,119	1,139	20	1.8
Reimbursements	12,692	12,786	94	0.7
Total	\$1,225,218	\$1,243,885	18,667	1.5

Telecommunications Consumer Bill of Rights. The Governor's Budget proposes \$10 million special funds to support the Telecommunications Consumer Bill of Rights. The funding will be used to resolve consumer complaints, improve enforcement, and conduct a public outreach campaign to teach people about their rights.

Rail Safety. The Governor's Budget proposes \$1.4 million special funds to enhance rail safety through additional accident investigations, evaluation of quiet zone notices, railroad inspections, and oversight of transit security programs.

Division of Ratepayer Advocates. The Governor's Budget proposes \$154,000 special funds to fund an attorney position within the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, consistent with recently enacted legislation (SB 608, Escutia).

AGRICULTURE

8570 Department of Food and Agriculture

Background. The California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) provides services to both producers and consumers of California's agricultural products in the areas of agricultural protection, agricultural marketing, and support to local fairs. The purpose of the agricultural protection program is to prevent the introduction and establishment of serious plant and animal pests and diseases. The agricultural marketing program promotes California's agricultural products and protects consumers and producers through the enforcement of measurements, standards, and fair pricing practices. Finally, the department provides financial and administrative assistance to county and district fairs.

Governor's Budget. The Governor's Budget proposes \$240 million to support CDFA in 2006-07. This is approximately \$14 million more than the level of expenditures estimated in the current year. This increase is primarily due to a new budget proposal to address emerging diseases.

Summary of Expenditures				
(dollars in thousands)	2005-06	2006-07	\$ Change	% Change
Type of Expenditure				
Agricultural Plant and Animal Health, Pest Prevention, and Food Safety Services	\$148,312	\$160,083	\$11,771	7.9
Marketing and Commodity and Agricultural Services	62,375	63,773	1,398	2.2
Assistance to Fair and County Agricultural Activities	60,918	60,333	-585	-1.0
Capital Outlay	376	26,419	26,043	6926.3
Administration	14,280	14,392	112	0.8
less distributed administration	-13,178	-13,292	-114	0.0
Total	\$273,083	\$311,708	\$38,625	14.1
Funding Source				
General Fund	\$83,336	\$91,588	\$8,252	9.9
Special Funds	140,747	146,763	6,016	4.3
Bond Funds	1,178	1,178	0	0.0
Budget Act Total	225,261	239,529	14,268	6.3
Federal Trust Fund	37,012	42,480	5,468	14.8
Public Building Construction Fund		17,556	17,556	0.0
Harbors and Watercraft Revolving F	Fund 1,239	1,273	34	2.7
Reimbursements	9,571	10,870	1,299	13.6
Total	\$273,083	\$311,708	\$38,625	14.1

Emerging Threats to Food Production. The Governor's Budget proposes \$7.2 million General Fund to establish an ongoing program to address emerging threats to California's food supply, including Avian Influenza and bioterrorism.

Private Vehicle Inspection Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$380,000 General Fund to support five 2-year limited-term positions to conduct a pilot project to determine the pest introduction risk presented by private vehicles entering the state.

Tax Enforcement Pilot Program. The Governor's Budget proposes \$250,000 in reimbursements to support three 2-year limited-term positions to conduct a pilot project to evaluate tax collection potential from property purchased outside California and transported into the state without payment of appropriate taxes. The project is being conducted in conjunction with the Board of Equalization and will entail CDFA personnel inspecting cargo and copying all pertinent information from commercial shipments entering the state through the Needles Agricultural Inspection Station.

Hydrogen Highway. The Governor's Budget proposes \$174,000 in General Fund to support one 1-year limited-term position to develop standards related to hydrogen fuel quality. This proposal supports the Governor's Hydrogen Highway Blueprint Plan.